

### REMARKS

This communication is in response to the Office Action of August 26, 2007.

Claims 11-25 are pending in this application. Claims 11, 14, 19, 20, 23 and 24 have been amended to more specifically point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. Dependent Claims 12-18, 20-22, and 24-25 have also been amended accordingly. New dependent Claims 26-31 have been added.

Specifically, Claims 11, 19, and 23 have been amended to clarify that the mobile device is a mobile phone. Support for the amendment is found throughout the specification, and in particular, at paragraph [0010]. New dependent Claims 26-31 have been added to include the limitations that “one or more of the image signals are simultaneously displayed at the native display of the mobile phone and at the external device” (Claims 26, 28, and 30) and that “one or more image signals are stored for later display at the native display of the mobile phone” (Claims 27, 29, and 31). Support for the amendments is found throughout the specification, and in particular, at paragraphs [0022], [0023], and [0024]. No new matter has been added.

Claims 14, 20 and 24 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for reciting the limitation that the external device “is a television.” Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 14, 20, and 24 have been amended to clarify that the television comprises “a display and a storage unit” and that the “interface converts video signals received by the storage unit of the television into a format compatible for local storage and display in the mobile device.”

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner’s suggestion that “the TV is a receiver and is not a transmitter.” As described in the specification, “[T]he external display 120, e.g., a **television**, monitor, or other display type, receives and/or **transmits** image/video signals with the display interface 102” (Specification, paragraph [0022]). “Moreover, the external storage unit 122, e.g., a video tape recorder (VTR), a video cassette recorder (VCR), a recordable DVD player, etc., can also receive or **transmit** image/video signals with the display interface 102” (Specification, paragraph [0023]). That is, the television itself or the external storage unit 122 attached to the television can transmit signals to the display interface 102. Applicant

respectfully points out to the Examiner that such televisions with attached external storage units are well known in the art. Accordingly, the rejections should be withdrawn.

Claims 11-13, 15-19, 21-23, and 25 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manowitz et al., United States Patent Publication No. 2004/0090541 (“Manowitz”) in view of Adair et al., United States Patent Publication No. 2002/0089589 (“Adair”). Applicant traverses the rejections. Reconsideration of these claims is respectfully requested.

Applicant respectfully submits that Manowitz and Adair do not disclose the claim limitation of a “mobile phone” having a “native display” and an “interface being operative to convert the format of image signals received from the external device into a format compatible for use by the mobile device.”

Specifically, Manowitz discloses “[A]a method for processing digital images and displaying them on a television receiver” (Manowitz, Abstract). “Initially, an image processing device receives digital image data from a digital camera or other digital device. Next, the digital image is stored on a storage device associated with the image processing device. This digital image on the storage device is then converted into television signals compatible with a television receiver and supplied to a television for display” (Manowitz, Paragraph [0006]).

“Image processing device 104 is a set-top box device designed in accordance with the present invention that provides digital images to television receiver 102 in a format compatible with NTSC, DTV, PAL, or any other format used to display images on a television” (Manowitz, Paragraph [0015]). As suggested by the Examiner, “Manowitz does not mention the mobile device (104) comprises a native display” (Office Action, Paragraph 5, page 4). That is, Manowitz does not disclose, suggest, or teach a “mobile phone” having a “native display.” Image signals cannot be received by image processing device 104 from an external device and displayed at image processing device 104 because image processing device 104 does not have a native display and is thus not capable of displaying image signals.

Furthermore, Adair also does not disclose, suggest, or teach a “mobile phone” having an “interface being operative to convert the format of image signals received from the external device into a format compatible for use by the mobile device.” In particular, video processing

board 50 in imaging device 11 (Adair, FIG. 1A) does not receive signals from external video devices, e.g., remote video device 60. As described in Adair, video processing board 50 only transmits signals to remote video device 60 (Adair, FIG. 6). Video processing board 50 is not capable of receiving signals from remote video device 60 for use or display at imaging device 11.


Accordingly, in view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that the application is now in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned if there are any residual issues that can be resolved through a telephone call.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees to Deposit Account No. 05-1283.

Dated: **December 21, 2007**

COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP  
ATTN: Patent Group  
Five Palo Alto Square  
3000 El Camino Real  
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155  
Tel: (650) 843-5625  
Fax: (650) 857-0663

Respectfully submitted,  
**COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP**

By:   
Marcia R. Chang  
Reg. No. 60,261